1 2 3 4 5 6	MELINDA S RIECHERT, State Bar No. 6550 ALEXANDER NESTOR, State Bar No. 2027 MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP 2 Palo Alto Square 3000 El Camino Real, Suite 700 Palo Alto, CA 94306-2212 Tel: 650.843.4000 Fax: 650.843.4001 E-mail: mriechert@morganlewis.com  Attorneys for Defendant HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY	
8 9 10 11	BARRY B. KAUFMAN, ESQ., SBN 113586 LAW OFFICES OF BARRY B. KAUFMAN, 16133 Ventura Blvd., Suite 700 Encino, California 91436 Tel: 818.995.9115 Fax: 818.995.9120 E-mail: bbkaufman@earthlink.net	, APC
12 13	Attorney for Plaintiff BARRY KLIFF	
14	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
15	NORTHERN DIST	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
16		G N G 05 01420 IW
17	BARRY KLIFF,  Plaintiff,	Case No. C-05-01438 JW  STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
18	vs.	ORDER CONTINUING DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE, EXPERT WITNESS
19	HEWLETT-PACKARD COMPANY, a	DISCLOSURE DATES, AND TRIAL SETTING CONFERENCE DATES
20	Delaware Corporation; and DOES 1 through 50, inclusive.	
21	Defendants.	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
28		
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP AITORNEYS AT LAW PALO ALTO	1-PA/3617910.1	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CONTINUING DISCOVERY CUT-OFF DATE – CASE NO. (C-05-01438 JW)

28
MORGAN, LEWIS &
BOCKIUS LLP
A TORNEYS AT LAW

WHEREAS, the parties filed, and the Court approved, a stipulation on May 4, 2006, requesting that the Court continue the scheduled expert disclosure and discovery cut-off dates because the parties believed the case was ripe for Defendant to file a summary judgment motion and it was in their best interests, as well as the Court's, to litigate Defendant's summary judgment motion before expending further time and resources completing discovery, including additional depositions and expert discovery;

WHEREAS, the parties filed, and the Court approved, a stipulation on September 12, 2006, requesting that the Court continue the scheduled expert disclosure and discovery cut-off dates again because the Court continued the hearing date on Defendant's summary judgment motion until September 25, 2006 due to its unavailability, and then to October 3, 2006, due to Plaintiff's request;

WHEREAS, the Court took Defendant's motion under submission following the hearing on October 3, 2006;

WHEREAS, the parties filed, and the Court approved, a stipulation on October 18, 2006, requesting that the Court continue the scheduled expert disclosure and discovery cut-off dates again pending the Court's ruling on Defendant's summary judgment motion so that the parties could avoid expending further time and resources completing discovery, including additional depositions and expert discovery;

WHEREAS, the parties filed, and the Court approved, a stipulation on November 16, 2006, requesting that the Court continue the scheduled expert disclosure and discovery cut-off dates again pending the Court's ruling on Defendant's summary judgment motion so that the parties could avoid expending further time and resources completing discovery, including additional depositions and expert discovery;

WHEREAS, Defendant's summary judgment motion is still pending;

THEREFORE, the parties stipulate and hereby respectfully request that the Court issue an order continuing the following dates as indicated so that the parties can avoid expending further time and resources completing discovery, including additional depositions and expert discovery, until the Court rules on Defendant's motion:

ŀ		
1	1) Last day for designated expert witnesses to produce their Rule 26 Reports is	
2	February 2, 2007;	
3	2) Last day for Fact Discovery and Expert Discovery is February 23, 2007;	
4	3) Last day to file/lodge the Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference	
5	Statement and Proposed Order with chambers is March 23, 2007;	
6	4) The Preliminary Pretrial and Trial Setting Conference is March 26, 2007.	
7		
8	MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP	
9	DATED D. 1. C. 2007	
10	DATED: December 8, 2006  Alexander Nestor	
11	Attorneys for Defendant Hewlett-Packard Company	
12	Company	
13	LAW OFFICES OF BARRY B. KAUFMAN, AF	'C
14		
15	DATED: December 8, 2006  Barry B, Kaufman	
16	Attorney for Plaintin Barry Kliff	
17		
17	<u>ORDER</u>	
	Pursuant to the parties' STIPULATION, and GOOD CAUSE having been shown theref	or,
19	IT IS SO ORDERED:	
20 21		
21	DATED: 12/11/2006 Fames Ubse	
22	The Horotope James Ware United States District Judge	
23		
25		
25 26		
27		
28		_
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP ANTORNEYS AT LAW PALO ALTO	STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDE  1-PA/3617910.1  2 CONTINUING DISCOVERY CUT-OF DATE CASE NO. (C-05-01438 IV	F